# Ramsey numbers for complete bipartite and 3-uniform tripartite subgraphs 

Tapas Kumar Mishra<br>Instructor: Prof. Sudebkumar Prasant Pal<br>Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur

November 16, 2012

## Ramsey Number $R(a, b)$

$R(a, b)$ is the minimum number $n$ such that any bicoloring of the edges of the $n$-vertex complete undirected graph $K_{n}$ would contain a monochromatic $K_{a}$ or a monochromatic $K_{b}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(1,1)=1, R(1, b)=1 \\
R(2,2)=2, R(2, b)=b \\
R(3,3)=6 R(4,4)=18 R(4,5)=25
\end{gathered}
$$

## Ramsey Number $R(a, b)$

$R(a, b)$ is the minimum number $n$ such that any bicoloring of the edges of the $n$-vertex complete undirected graph $K_{n}$ would contain a monochromatic $K_{a}$ or a monochromatic $K_{b}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
R(1,1)=1, R(1, b)=1 \\
R(2,2)=2, R(2, b)=b \\
R(3,3)=6 R(4,4)=18 R(4,5)=25
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(6,6) & =102-165 \\
R(10,10) & =798-23556
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ramsey Number $R(a, b)$

$R(a, b)$ is the minimum number $n$ such that any bicoloring of the edges of the $n$-vertex complete undirected graph $K_{n}$ would contain a monochromatic $K_{a}$ or a monochromatic $K_{b}$.


Traditional Ramsey searches for complete structures ( like $K_{a}$ or $K_{b}$ ), but what happens if we try to find complete bipartite structures? Solving this basic question is the area of my research.

## Definition of $R^{\prime}(a, b)$

$R^{\prime}(a, b)$ is the minimum number $n$ such that any bicoloring of the edges of the $n$-vertex complete undirected graph $K_{n}$ would contain a monochromatic $K_{a, b}$.

In other words, $R^{\prime}(a, b)$ is the minimum number $n$ so that any $n$-vertex simple undirected graph $G$ or its complement $G^{\prime}$ must contain the complete bipartite graph $K_{a, b}$.
$R^{\prime}(a, b)$ is the minimum number $n$ such that any bicoloring of the edges of the $n$-vertex complete undirected graph $K_{n}$ would contain a monochromatic $K_{a, b}$.
$R^{\prime}(1,1)=$ ?
any bicoloring of the edges of the $R^{\prime}(1,1)$-vertex complete undirected graph would contain a monochromatic $K_{1,1}$.

Figure 1: monochromatic $K_{1,1}$ in bicoloring using red and blue.
$R^{\prime}(a, b)$ is the minimum number $n$ such that any bicoloring of the edges of the $n$-vertex complete undirected graph $K_{n}$ would contain a monochromatic $K_{a, b}$.
$R^{\prime}(1,1)=$ ?
any bicoloring of the edges of the $R^{\prime}(1,1)$-vertex complete undirected graph would contain a monochromatic $K_{1,1}$.

Figure 1: monochromatic $K_{1,1}$ in bicoloring using red and blue.

## $R^{\prime}(1,1)=2$

$R^{\prime}(a, b)$ is the minimum number $n$ such that any bicoloring of the edges of the $n$-vertex complete undirected graph $K_{n}$ would contain a monochromatic $K_{a, b}$.
$R^{\prime}(1,2)=$ ?
any bicoloring of the edges of the $R^{\prime}(1,2)$-vertex complete undirected graph would contain a monochromatic $K_{1,2}$.


Figure 2: monochromatic $K_{1,2}$ in bicoloring using red and blue.
$R^{\prime}(a, b)$ is the minimum number $n$ such that any bicoloring of the edges of the $n$-vertex complete undirected graph $K_{n}$ would contain a monochromatic $K_{a, b}$.
$R^{\prime}(1,2)=$ ?
any bicoloring of the edges of the $R^{\prime}(1,2)$-vertex complete undirected graph would contain a monochromatic $K_{1,2}$.


Figure 2: monochromatic $K_{1,2}$ in bicoloring using red and blue.

## $R^{\prime}(1,2)=3$



Figure 3: $K_{2,2}$ free graphs with $n=4$ and $n=5$ vertices.
$R^{\prime}(1,3) \geq 6$, observe that we need at least 4 vertices and neither a 4-cycle nor it complement has a $K_{1,3}$. Further, observe that neither a 5-cycle in $K_{5}$, nor its complement (also a 5-cycle) has a $K_{1,3}$.

- Non-constructive
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Figure 3: $K_{2,2}$ free graphs with $n=4$ and $n=5$ vertices.
$R^{\prime}(1,3) \geq 6$, observe that we need at least 4 vertices and neither a 4-cycle nor it complement has a $K_{1,3}$. Further, observe that neither a 5-cycle in $K_{5}$, nor its complement (also a 5-cycle) has a $K_{1,3}$.

- Non-constructive
- Monotonically increasing
- Grows exponentially

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{\prime}(7,7) \leq 125500 \\
& R^{\prime}(8,8) \leq 7456621
\end{aligned}
$$

## Results

(1) $R^{\prime}(1, b)=2 b$, if $b$ is odd.
$R^{\prime}(1, b)=2 b-1$, if $b$ is even.
(2) $R^{\prime}(2, b)>2 b+1$, for all integers $b \geq 2$.
(3) $R^{\prime}(a, b)>\frac{(2 \pi)^{\left(\frac{1}{a+b}\right)} * a^{\left(\frac{a+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b}\right)} * b^{\left(\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b}\right)}}{e} * 2^{\left(\frac{a b-1}{a+b}\right)}$
(9. If $e * 2^{1-a b} *\left(a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}+1\right) \leq 1, R^{\prime}(a, b)>n$
(9) For all $n \in N$ and $0<p<1$, if
$\binom{n}{a}\binom{n-a}{b} p^{a b}+\binom{n}{c}\binom{n-c}{d}(1-p)^{c d}<1$, then $R^{\prime}(a, b, c, d)>n$.

## Results Continued..

(0) $R^{\prime}(p, q) \leq R(p+q, p+q)$.
(1) $R^{\prime}(a, b) \leq 2^{a} * R^{\prime}(a-1, b), a<b$.
(8) if $\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \geq 2 * \frac{a}{2} * \sqrt[a]{\frac{b-1}{a!}} * n^{2-\frac{1}{a}}+1, R^{\prime}(a, b)<n$.
(9) $R^{\prime}(a, b, c)>$
$\frac{\left.(2 \pi)^{\left(\frac{3}{2(a+b+c)}\right)}\right)_{* a}\left(\frac{a+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b+c}\right)_{* b}\left(\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b+c}\right)_{* c}\left(\frac{c+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b+c}\right)}{e} * 2^{\left(\frac{a b c-1}{a+b+c}\right)}$.
(10) If $e * 2^{1-a b c} *\left(a b c\binom{n-3}{a+b+c-3}\binom{a+b+c-3}{b-1}\binom{a+c-2}{c-1}+1\right) \leq 1$, $R^{\prime}(a, b, c)>n$.
(1) (Conjecture) $R^{\prime}(1,1, b)=b+2$.

## Theorem

$2 b-1 \leq R^{\prime}(1, b) \leq 2 b$.
$R^{\prime}(1, b) \leq 2 b: n=2 b$ vertices:
for any vertex $x$, there are exactly $2 b-1$ possible neighbours, so by pigeon hole principle, $x$ must contain $b$ neighbours in atleast one of $G$ or $G^{\prime}$.
Those $b$ neighbours combined with $x$ forms the $K_{1, b}$.

$2 b-1$ possible neighbours

## $2 b-1 \leq R^{\prime}(1, b) \leq 2 b$.

$R^{\prime}(1, b) \geq 2 b-1: n=2 b-2($ i.e $<2 b-1)$ vertices:
To show that $R^{\prime}(1, b) \geq 2 b-1$, we need to give a general construction with $2 b-2$ vertices graphs $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ free from $K_{1, b}$. So our construction would generate a graph $G$ that is $(b-1)$-regular(that will be obviously free from $K_{1, b}$ ), such that the number of possible neighbours for any vertex in $G^{\prime}$ cannot exceed $b-1$.

## $2 b-1 \leq R^{\prime}(1, b) \leq 2 b$.

$R^{\prime}(1, b) \geq 2 b-1: n=2 b-2($ i.e $<2 b-1)$ vertices:
To show that $R^{\prime}(1, b) \geq 2 b-1$, we need to give a general construction with $2 b-2$ vertices graphs $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ free from $K_{1, b}$. So our construction would generate a graph $G$ that is $(b-1)$-regular(that will be obviously free from $K_{1, b}$ ), such that the number of possible neighbours for any vertex in $G^{\prime}$ cannot exceed $b-1$.
Construction of $G$ :If $b-1=2 m$ is even, put all the vertices around a circle, and join each to its $m$ nearest neighbors on either side.


Figure 4: $\quad b-1(=2 m)$ is even, $m=2$ in here

## $R^{\prime}(1, b) \geq 2 b-1$

Construction of $G$ :If $b-1=2 m$ is even, put all the vertices around a circle, and join each to its $m$ nearest neighbors on either side. If $b-1=2 m+1$ is odd (and as $n=2 b-2$ is even), put the vertices on a circle, join each to its $m$ nearest neighbors on each side, and also to the vertex directly opposite.


Figure 5: $b-1(=2 m+1)$ is odd, $m=2$ here

## $R^{\prime}(1, b) \geq 2 b-1$

Construction of $G$ :If $b-1=2 m$ is even, put all the vertices around a circle, and join each to its $m$ nearest neighbors on either side. If $b-1=2 m+1$ is odd (and as $n=2 b-2$ is even), put the vertices on a circle, join each to its $m$ nearest neighbors on each side, and also to the vertex directly opposite.


Figure 5: $b-1(=2 m+1)$ is odd, $m=2$ here
This will result in a $(b-1)$-regular graph $G$ such that $G$ and its complement $G^{\prime}$ are free from $K_{1, b}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Theorem } \\
& R^{\prime}(1, b)=2 b \text {, if } b \text { is odd. } \\
& R^{\prime}(1, b)=2 b-1 \text {, if } b \text { is even. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
R^{\prime}(2,2)>5
$$



Figure 6: $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ with $n=4$ and $n=5$ free from a $K_{2,2}$
$R^{\prime}(2,2)=6$.

$$
R^{\prime}(2,3)>7
$$



Figure 7: $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ with $n=7$ without a $K_{2,3}$

## Theorem

$R^{\prime}(2, b)>2 b+1$, for all integers $b \geq 2$.


Figure 8 : Construction of $G$ (left two): generation of $B_{1}, B_{2}$ and addition of edges. Resulting $G^{\prime}$ (rightmost): In $G^{\prime}, B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ become $K_{b}$, and only edges between $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ is a matching.

## Theorem <br> $$
R^{\prime}(3,3)>11
$$



Figure 9: $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ with $n=11$ without a $K_{3,3}$

## Probabilistic lower bounds for $R^{\prime}(a, b)$

we want some (ideally as large as possible) $n$ so that we can somehow colour the edges of $K_{n}$ using two colors (say red and blue) in such a way that we get neither a red $K_{a}$ or a blue $K_{b}$.

## non-constructive, but shows such examples exist!

## Earlier works..

The best known lower bound on $R^{\prime}(a, b)$ due to Chung and Graham [4] is

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\prime}(a, b)>(2 \pi \sqrt{a b})^{\left(\frac{1}{a+b}\right)} *\left(\frac{a+b}{e^{2}}\right) * 2^{\frac{a b-1}{a+b}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Probablistic Lower Bound

## Theorem

$R^{\prime}(a, b)>\frac{(2 \pi)^{\left(\frac{1}{a+b}\right)} * a\left(\frac{a+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b}\right)}{a^{\left(\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b}\right)}} \underset{e}{e} * 2^{\left(\frac{a b-1}{a+b}\right)}$
Proof: Let $n$ be the number of vertices of graph $G$. Then the total number of distinct $K_{a, b}$ possible is

$$
\binom{n}{a} *\binom{n-a}{b}
$$

## Probablistic Lower Bound

## Theorem

$$
R^{\prime}(a, b)>\frac{(2 \pi)\left(\frac{1}{a+b}\right) * a\left(\frac{a+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b}\right)}{e} \frac{\left(\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b}\right)}{e} * 2^{\left(\frac{a b-1}{a+b}\right)}
$$

Proof: Let $n$ be the number of vertices of graph $G$. Then the total number of distinct $K_{a, b}$ possible is

$$
\binom{n}{a} *\binom{n-a}{b}
$$

Each $K_{a, b}$ has exactly $a b$ edges. Each edge can be either of color 1 or color 2 with equal probability. So probability of a particular $K_{a, b}$ of color 1 is $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{a b}$. So probability that a particular $K_{a, b}$ of either color 1 or color 2 exists is

## Probablistic Lower Bound

So probalility $p$ of any monochromatic $K_{a, b}=$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n}{a} *\binom{n-a}{b} * 2^{1-a b} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our objective is to choose as large $n$ as possible with $p<1$. So choosing
$\left.n=\frac{2 \pi\left(\frac{1}{a+b}\right)}{* a\left(\frac{a+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b}\right)} * b^{\left(\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b}\right)}\right) * 2^{\left(\frac{a b-1}{a+b}\right)}$, we get $p<1$.

## Probablistic Lower Bound

So probalility $p$ of any monochromatic $K_{a, b}=$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n}{a} *\binom{n-a}{b} * 2^{1-a b} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our objective is to choose as large $n$ as possible with $p<1$. So choosing
$n=\frac{2 \pi^{\left(\frac{1}{a+b}\right)} * a^{\left(\frac{a+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b}\right)} * b^{\left(\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b}\right)}}{e} * 2^{\left(\frac{a b-1}{a+b}\right)}$, we get $p<1$.
This guarantees the existence of an $n$-vertex graph for which some edge bicoloring would not result in any monochromatic $K_{a, b}$.

## A lower bound for $R^{\prime}(a, b)$ using Lovász' local lemma

Objective: existence of a monochromatic $K_{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ in any bicoloring of the edges of $K_{n}$.
Since the same edge may be present in many distinct $K_{a, b}$ 's, the colouring of any particular edge may effect the monochromaticity in many $K_{a, b}$ 's. This gives the motivation of use of Lovász' local lemma (see [9]) in this context.

## Theorem (Lovász' local lemma Corrolary)

If every event $E_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$ is dependent on at most $d$ other events and $\operatorname{Pr}\left[E_{i}\right] \leq p$, and if ep $(d+1) \leq 1$, then $\operatorname{Pr}\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \overline{E_{i}}\right]>0$.

## Improved bound using LLL

## Theorem

If $e * 2^{1-a b} *\left(a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}+1\right) \leq 1, R^{\prime}(a, b)>n$
Proof: Let $S$ be the set of edges of an arbitrary $K_{a, b}$, and let $E_{S}$ be the event that all edges in this $K_{a, b}$ are coloured monochromatically.
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## Theorem

If $e * 2^{1-a b} *\left(a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}+1\right) \leq 1, R^{\prime}(a, b)>n$
Proof: Let $S$ be the set of edges of an arbitrary $K_{a, b}$, and let $E_{S}$ be the event that all edges in this $K_{a, b}$ are coloured monochromatically. For each such $S$, the probability of $E_{S}$ is $P\left(E_{S}\right)=2^{1-a b}$.

## Improved bound using LLL

## Theorem

If $e * 2^{1-a b} *\left(a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}+1\right) \leq 1, R^{\prime}(a, b)>n$
Proof: Let $S$ be the set of edges of an arbitrary $K_{a, b}$, and let $E_{S}$ be the event that all edges in this $K_{a, b}$ are coloured monochromatically. For each such $S$, the probability of $E_{S}$ is $P\left(E_{S}\right)=2^{1-a b}$.
We enumerate the sets of edges of all possible $K_{a, b}$ 's as $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{m}$, where $m=\binom{n}{a}\binom{n-a}{b}$.

## Improved bound using LLL

## Theorem

If $e * 2^{1-a b} *\left(a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}+1\right) \leq 1, R^{\prime}(a, b)>n$
Proof: Let $S$ be the set of edges of an arbitrary $K_{a, b}$, and let $E_{S}$ be the event that all edges in this $K_{a, b}$ are coloured monochromatically. For each such $S$, the probability of $E_{S}$ is $P\left(E_{S}\right)=2^{1-a b}$.
We enumerate the sets of edges of all possible $K_{a, b}$ 's as $S_{1}, S_{2}, \ldots, S_{m}$, where $m=\binom{n}{a}\binom{n-a}{b}$.
Each event $E_{S_{i}}$ is mutually independent of all the events $E_{S_{j}}$ from the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{E_{S_{j}}:\left|S_{i} \cap S_{j}\right|=0\right\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

since for any such $S_{j}, S_{i}$ and $S_{j}$ share no edges.

## Improved bound using LLL Cont..

## Theorem

If $e * 2^{1-a b} *\left(a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}+1\right) \leq 1, R^{\prime}(a, b)>n$
For each $E_{S_{i}}$, the number of events outside this set satisfies the inequality $\left|\left\{E_{S_{j}}:\left|S_{i} \cap S_{j}\right| \geq 1\right\}\right| \leq a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}$
as every $S_{j}$ in this set shares at least one edge with $S_{i}$, and therefore such an $S_{j}$ shares at least two vertices with $S_{i}$.

## Improved bound using LLL Cont..

## Theorem
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as every $S_{j}$ in this set shares at least one edge with $S_{i}$, and therefore such an $S_{j}$ shares at least two vertices with $S_{i}$.
We can choose the rest of the $a+b-2$ vertices of $S_{j}$ from the remaining $n-2$ vertices of $K_{n}$, out of which we can choose $b-1$ for one partite of $S_{j}$, and the remaining $a-1$ to form the second partite of $S_{j}$, yielding a $K_{a, b}$ that shares at least one edge with $S_{i}$.

## Improved bound using LLL Cont..

## Theorem

If $e * 2^{1-a b} *\left(a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}+1\right) \leq 1, R^{\prime}(a, b)>n$
For each $E_{S_{i}}$, the number of events outside this set satisfies the inequality $\left|\left\{E_{S_{j}}:\left|S_{i} \cap S_{j}\right| \geq 1\right\}\right| \leq a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}$
as every $S_{j}$ in this set shares at least one edge with $S_{i}$, and therefore such an $S_{j}$ shares at least two vertices with $S_{i}$.
We can choose the rest of the $a+b-2$ vertices of $S_{j}$ from the remaining $n-2$ vertices of $K_{n}$, out of which we can choose $b-1$ for one partite of $S_{j}$, and the remaining $a-1$ to form the second partite of $S_{j}$, yielding a $K_{a, b}$ that shares at least one edge with $S_{i}$.
We apply Corollary 6 to the set of events $E_{S_{1}}, E_{S_{2}}, \ldots, E_{S_{m}}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=2^{1-a b}, d=a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Improved bound using LLL Cont..

## Theorem

If $e * 2^{1-a b} *\left(a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}+1\right) \leq 1, R^{\prime}(a, b)>n$
We apply Corollary 6 to the set of events $E_{S_{1}}, E_{S_{2}}, \ldots, E_{S_{m}}$, with $p=2^{1-a b}, d=a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e * 2^{1-a b} *\left(a b\binom{n}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}+1\right) \leq 1=>\operatorname{Pr}\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \bar{E}_{S_{i}}\right]>0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Improved bound using LLL Cont..

## Theorem

If $e * 2^{1-a b} *\left(a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}+1\right) \leq 1, R^{\prime}(a, b)>n$
We apply Corollary 6 to the set of events $E_{S_{1}}, E_{S_{2}}, \ldots, E_{S_{m}}$, with $p=2^{1-a b}, d=a b\binom{n-2}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e * 2^{1-a b} *\left(a b\binom{n}{a+b-2}\binom{a+b-2}{b-1}+1\right) \leq 1=>\operatorname{Pr}\left[\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \bar{E}_{S_{i}}\right]>0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This non-zero probability (of none of the events $E_{S_{i}}$ occuring, for $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) implies the existence of some bicolouring of the edges of $K_{n}$ with no monochromatic $K_{a, b}$, thereby establishing the theorem.

Table 1: Lower bounds for $R^{\prime}(a, b)$ from Inequality 1(left), Theorem 5 (middle) and Theorem 7 (right)

| b | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | $2,3,3$ | $2,3,4$ | $3,4,5$ | $3,5,6$ | $3,5,7$ | $3,6,8$ | $5,10,17$ | $5,11,18$ | $6,12,19$ |
| 2 | $3,4,4$ | $3,5,6$ | $4,6,7$ | $5,7,9$ | $5,8,10$ | $6,9,12$ | $9,17,23$ | $10,18,24$ | $10,19,26$ |
| 3 | $4,5,6$ | $5,7,8$ | $6,8,9$ | $7,10,12$ | $8,12,14$ | $9,14,16$ | $16,26,32$ | $17,29,35$ | $18,31,37$ |
| 4 |  | $6,9,10$ | $8,11,12$ | $10,14,15$ | $12,16,18$ | $14,19,22$ | $26,41,46$ | $28,45,50$ | $30,49,55$ |
| 5 |  |  | $11,14,16$ | $13,18,20$ | $16,22,24$ | $19,27,29$ | $40,60,65$ | $43,67,72$ | $47,74,80$ |
| 6 |  |  |  | $17,23,25$ | $21,29,31$ | $26,35,38$ | $59,87,93$ | $66,98,104$ | $72,109,116$ |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | $27,37,39$ | $34,46,48$ | $86,123,129$ | $96,139,147$ | $106,156,165$ |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  | $43,58,61$ | $119,168,178$ | $136,193,204$ | $152,219,232$ |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $556,755,820$ | $678,922,1005$ | $817,1113,1219$ |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $836,1136,1246$ | $1019,1385,1525$ |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $1254,1704,1886$ |

## Off-diagonal Ramsey-like numbers for complete bipartite subgraphs

$R^{\prime}(a, b, c, d)$ as the minimum number $n$ so that any $n$-vertex simple undirected graph $G$ must contain a $K_{a, b}$ or its complement $G^{\prime}$ must contain the complete bipartite graph $K_{c, d}$.
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## Theorem

For all $n \in N$ and $0<p<1$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{n}{a}\binom{n-a}{b} p^{a b}+\binom{n}{c}\binom{n-c}{d}(1-p)^{c d}<1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

na, then $R^{\prime}(a, b, c, d)>n$.

## Existence of $R^{\prime}(a, b)$

Existance proof is achieved by proving following explicit bound.

## Theorem

$R^{\prime}(p, q) \leq R(p+q, p+q)$.
Proof: From Ramsey theorem we know that for any positive integers $p$ and $q, R(p, q)$ always exist.

## Existence of $R^{\prime}(a, b)$

Existance proof is achieved by proving following explicit bound.

## Theorem

$R^{\prime}(p, q) \leq R(p+q, p+q)$.
Proof: From Ramsey theorem we know that for any positive integers $p$ and $q, R(p, q)$ always exist. Hence $R(p+q, p+q)$ also exists. $R(p+q, p+q)$ is the minimum number such that any bicoloring of the graph with this number of vertices always contain a monochromatic $K_{p+q}$.

## Existence of $R^{\prime}(a, b)$

Existance proof is achieved by proving following explicit bound.

## Theorem

$R^{\prime}(p, q) \leq R(p+q, p+q)$.
Proof: From Ramsey theorem we know that for any positive integers $p$ and $q, R(p, q)$ always exist. Hence $R(p+q, p+q)$ also exists. $R(p+q, p+q)$ is the minimum number such that any bicoloring of the graph with this number of vertices always contain a monochromatic $K_{p+q}$.
As $K_{p+q}$ always contains a subgraph $K_{p, q}$, hence the number that guarantees a monochomatic $K_{p+q}$ always guarantees a monochomatic $K_{p, q}$.

## Upper Bounds on of $R^{\prime}(a, b)$

## Theorem

$R^{\prime}(a, b) \leq 2^{a} * R^{\prime}(a-1, b), a<b$.

## Theorem

$$
\text { if } \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \geq 2 * \frac{a}{2} * \sqrt[a]{\frac{b-1}{a!}} * n^{2-\frac{1}{a}}+1, R^{\prime}(a, b)<n
$$

Table 2: Upper bounds on $R^{\prime}(a, b)$ from Theorem 13

| $\boldsymbol{c}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| 2 |  | 11 | 19 | 27 | 35 | 43 | 51 | 59 |
| 3 |  |  | 75 | 111 | 147 | 183 | 219 | 255 |
| 4 |  |  |  | 516 | 687 | 858 | 1028 | 1199 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  | 3339 | 4172 | 5005 | 5839 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  | 20742 | 24890 | 29037 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 125500 | 146415 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7456621 |

## Lower bounds for Ramsey like numbers for complete tripartite 3-uniform subgraphs

- An $r$-uniform hypergraph is a hypergraph where every hyperedge has exactly $r$ vertices. (Hyperedges of a hypergraph are subsets of the vertex set. So, usual graphs are 2-uniform hypergraphs.)
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- An $r$-uniform hypergraph is a hypergraph where every hyperedge has exactly $r$ vertices. (Hyperedges of a hypergraph are subsets of the vertex set. So, usual graphs are 2-uniform hypergraphs.)
- $R^{\prime}(a, b, c)$ is the minimum number $n$ such that any $n$-vertex 3 -uniform hypergraph $G(V, E)$, or its complement $G^{\prime}(V, E)$ contains a $K_{a, b, c}$.
- $K_{a, b, c}$ is defined as the complete tripartite 3-uniform hypergraph with vertex set $A \cup B \cup C$, where the $A, B$ and $C$ have $a, b$ and $c$ vertices respectively, and $K_{a, b, c}$ has abc 3-uniform hyperedges $\{u, v, w\}$, $u \in A, v \in B$ and $w \in C$.
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$R^{\prime}(1,1,1)=3 ;$

## Lower bounds for Ramsey like numbers for complete tripartite 3-uniform subgraphs

$R^{\prime}(1,1,1)=3$; with 3 vertices, there is one possible 3-uniform hyperedge which either is present or absent in $G$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{\prime}(1,1,2)=4 \\
& R^{\prime}(1,1,3)=5 \\
& R^{\prime}(1,1,4)=6
\end{aligned}
$$

## Conjecture.

$R^{\prime}(1,1, b)=b+2$.

## Probabilistic lower bound for $R^{\prime}(a, b, c)$

## Theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\prime}(a, b, c)>\frac{(2 \pi)\left(\frac{3}{2(a+b+c)}\right) * a\left(\frac{a+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b+c}\right) * b\left(\frac{b+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b+c}\right) * c\left(\frac{c+\frac{1}{2}}{a+b+c}\right)}{e} * 2\left(\frac{a b c-1}{a+b+c}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem

If $e * 2^{1-a b c} *\left(a b c\binom{n-3}{a+b+c-3}\binom{a+b+c-3}{b-1}\binom{a+c-2}{c-1}+1\right) \leq 1, R^{\prime}(a, b, c)>n$

Table 3: Lower bounds for $R^{\prime}(a, b, c)$ by Inequality 14(left) and Theorem 15(right)

|  | $\mathrm{a}=2$ | $\mathrm{a}=3$ | $\mathrm{a}=3$ | $a=3$ | $a=4$ | $a=4$ | $a=5$ | $\mathrm{a}=6$ | $\mathrm{a}=6$ | $a=6$ | $a=6$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 9,13 | 8,11 | 11,16 | 16,22 | 18,25 | 26,36 | 40,58 | 11,16 | 21,29 | 36,52 | 59,87 |
| 3 | 16,22 | 14,19 | 23,32 | 35,50 | 41,61 | 68,107 | 124,208 |  | 50,74 | 107,175 | 209,371 |
| 4 | 26,36 |  | 41,61 | 68,107 | 84,138 | 159,281 | 334,653 |  |  | 277,521 | 643,1354 |
| 5 | 40,58 |  |  | 124,208 |  | 334,653 | 800,1765 |  |  |  | 1740,4194 |

## Significance

- it gives us the minimum number of vertices needed in a graph so that two mutually disjoint subsets of vertices with cardinalities $a$ and $b$ can be guaranteed to have the complete bipartite connectivity property.
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## Significance

- it gives us the minimum number of vertices needed in a graph so that two mutually disjoint subsets of vertices with cardinalities $a$ and $b$ can be guaranteed to have the complete bipartite connectivity property.
- In the analysis of social networks it may be worthwhile knowing whether all persons in some subset of $a$ persons share $b$ friends.
- In the analysis of transaction systems where either there are many dependent transactions and we need to achieve consistency that either all transactions take place or none of them occur.
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## Conclusion

The reason behind such Ramsey-type results is that: "The largest partition class always contains the desired substructure".

- Whether $R^{\prime}(2, b)$ is equal to $4 b-2$.
- Whether $R^{\prime}(3, b)$ is non exponential.
- Constructive tighter lower bound for $R^{\prime}(a, b)$.
- Application of Lovász Local Lemma to Hypergraph Covering Problem.
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