Bias-Variance Tradeoff

Tapas Kumar Mishra

August 28, 2022

- As usual, we are given a dataset D = {(x₁, y₁), ..., (x_n, y_n)}, drawn i.i.d. from some distribution P(X, Y). Throughout this lecture we assume a regression setting, i.e. y ∈ ℝ
- In this lecture we will decompose the generalization error of a classifier into three rather interpretable terms.
- Before we do that, let us consider that for any given input **x** there might not exist a unique label *y*.
- For example, if your vector **x** describes features of house (e.g. #bedrooms, square footage, ...) and the label y its price, you could imagine two houses with identical description selling for different prices.
- So for any given feature vector **x**, there is a distribution over possible labels. We therefore define the following, which will come in useful later on:

- As usual, we are given a dataset D = {(x₁, y₁), ..., (x_n, y_n)}, drawn i.i.d. from some distribution P(X, Y). Throughout this lecture we assume a regression setting, i.e. y ∈ ℝ
- In this lecture we will decompose the generalization error of a classifier into three rather interpretable terms.
- Before we do that, let us consider that for any given input **x** there might not exist a unique label *y*.
- For example, if your vector **x** describes features of house (e.g. #bedrooms, square footage, ...) and the label y its price, you could imagine two houses with identical description selling for different prices.
- So for any given feature vector **x**, there is a distribution over possible labels. We therefore define the following, which will come in useful later on:

- As usual, we are given a dataset D = {(x₁, y₁), ..., (x_n, y_n)}, drawn i.i.d. from some distribution P(X, Y). Throughout this lecture we assume a regression setting, i.e. y ∈ ℝ
- In this lecture we will decompose the generalization error of a classifier into three rather interpretable terms.
- Before we do that, let us consider that for any given input **x** there might not exist a unique label *y*.
- For example, if your vector **x** describes features of house (e.g. #bedrooms, square footage, ...) and the label y its price, you could imagine two houses with identical description selling for different prices.
- So for any given feature vector **x**, there is a distribution over possible labels. We therefore define the following, which will come in useful later on:

- As usual, we are given a dataset D = {(x₁, y₁), ..., (x_n, y_n)}, drawn i.i.d. from some distribution P(X, Y). Throughout this lecture we assume a regression setting, i.e. y ∈ ℝ
- In this lecture we will decompose the generalization error of a classifier into three rather interpretable terms.
- Before we do that, let us consider that for any given input **x** there might not exist a unique label *y*.
- For example, if your vector **x** describes features of house (e.g. #bedrooms, square footage, ...) and the label y its price, you could imagine two houses with identical description selling for different prices.
- So for any given feature vector **x**, there is a distribution over possible labels. We therefore define the following, which will come in useful later on:

- As usual, we are given a dataset D = {(x₁, y₁), ..., (x_n, y_n)}, drawn i.i.d. from some distribution P(X, Y). Throughout this lecture we assume a regression setting, i.e. y ∈ ℝ
- In this lecture we will decompose the generalization error of a classifier into three rather interpretable terms.
- Before we do that, let us consider that for any given input **x** there might not exist a unique label *y*.
- For example, if your vector **x** describes features of house (e.g. #bedrooms, square footage, ...) and the label y its price, you could imagine two houses with identical description selling for different prices.
- So for any given feature vector **x**, there is a distribution over possible labels. We therefore define the following, which will come in useful later on:

Expected Label (given $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$):

$$\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) = E_{y|\mathbf{x}}[Y] = \int_{y} y \operatorname{Pr}(y|\mathbf{x}) \partial y.$$

The expected label denotes the label you would expect to obtain, given a feature vector \mathbf{x} .

we draw our training set D, consisting of n inputs, i.i.d. from the distribution P. As a second step we typically call some machine learning algorithm A on this data set to learn a hypothesis (aka classifier). Formally, we denote this process as $h_D = A(D)$.

we draw our training set D, consisting of n inputs, i.i.d. from the distribution P. As a second step we typically call some machine learning algorithm A on this data set to learn a hypothesis (aka classifier). Formally, we denote this process as $h_D = A(D)$.

we draw our training set D, consisting of n inputs, i.i.d. from the distribution P. As a second step we typically call some machine learning algorithm A on this data set to learn a hypothesis (aka classifier). Formally, we denote this process as $h_D = A(D)$.

For a given h_D , learned on data set D with algorithm A, we can compute the generalization error (as measured in squared loss) as follows:

Expected Test Error (given h_D):

$$E_{(\mathbf{x},y)\sim P}\left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2\right] = \int_{x}\int_{y} \left(h_D(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2 \Pr(\mathbf{x},y) \partial y \partial \mathbf{x}.$$

For a given h_D , learned on data set D with algorithm A, we can compute the generalization error (as measured in squared loss) as follows:

Expected Test Error (given h_D):

$$E_{(\mathbf{x},y)\sim P}\left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2\right] = \iint_{x} \iint_{y} \left(h_D(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2 \Pr(\mathbf{x},y) \partial y \partial \mathbf{x}.$$

The previous statement is true for a given training set D.

However, remember that *D* itself is drawn from *Pⁿ*, and is therefore a random variable.

Further, h_D is a function of D, and is therefore also a random variable. And we can of course compute its expectation: Expected Classifier (given A):

$$\bar{h} = E_{D \sim P^n} [h_D] = \int_D h_D \Pr(D) \partial D$$

where Pr(D) is the probability of drawing D from P^n . Here, \bar{h} is a weighted average over functions

The previous statement is true for a given training set D. However, remember that D itself is drawn from P^n , and is therefore a random variable.

Further, h_D is a function of D, and is therefore also a random variable. And we can of course compute its expectation: Expected Classifier (given A):

$$\bar{h} = E_{D \sim P^n} [h_D] = \int_D h_D \Pr(D) \partial D$$

where Pr(D) is the probability of drawing D from P^n . Here, \overline{h} is a weighted average over functions

The previous statement is true for a given training set D. However, remember that D itself is drawn from P^n , and is therefore a random variable.

Further, h_D is a function of D, and is therefore also a random variable. And we can of course compute its expectation:

Expected Classifier (given \mathcal{A}):

$$\bar{h} = E_{D \sim P^n} [h_D] = \int_D h_D \Pr(D) \partial D$$

where Pr(D) is the probability of drawing D from P^n . Here, h is a weighted average over functions

The previous statement is true for a given training set D. However, remember that D itself is drawn from P^n , and is therefore a random variable.

Further, h_D is a function of D, and is therefore also a random variable. And we can of course compute its expectation: Expected Classifier (given A):

$$ar{h} = E_{D \sim P^n} [h_D] = \int\limits_D h_D \Pr(D) \partial D$$

where Pr(D) is the probability of drawing D from P^n . Here, \bar{h} is a weighted average over functions

Expected Test Error (given A):

$$E_{\substack{(\mathbf{x},y)\sim P\\D\sim P^{n}}}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right] = \int_{D}\int_{\mathbf{x}}\int_{y}\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\mathrm{P}(\mathbf{x},y)\mathrm{P}(D)\partial\mathbf{x}\partial y\partial D$$

To be clear, D is our training points and the (\mathbf{x}, y) pairs are the test points.

Expected Test Error (given A):

$$E_{\substack{(\mathbf{x},y)\sim P\\D\sim P^n}}\left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2\right] = \int_D \int_{\mathbf{x}} \int_{y} \left(h_D(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2 \mathrm{P}(\mathbf{x},y) \mathrm{P}(D) \partial \mathbf{x} \partial y \partial D$$

To be clear, D is our training points and the (\mathbf{x}, y) pairs are the test points.

Expected Test Error (given A):

$$E_{\substack{(\mathbf{x},y)\sim P\\D\sim P^n}}\left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2\right] = \int_D \int_{\mathbf{x}} \int_{y} \left(h_D(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2 \mathrm{P}(\mathbf{x},y) \mathrm{P}(D) \partial \mathbf{x} \partial y \partial D$$

To be clear, D is our training points and the (\mathbf{x}, y) pairs are the test points.

Expected Test Error (given A):

$$E_{\substack{(\mathbf{x},y)\sim P\\D\sim P^n}}\left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2\right] = \int_D \int_{\mathbf{x}} \int_{y} \left(h_D(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2 \mathrm{P}(\mathbf{x},y) \mathrm{P}(D) \partial \mathbf{x} \partial y \partial D$$

To be clear, D is our training points and the (\mathbf{x}, y) pairs are the test points.

$$E_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},D}\left[\left[h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{y}\right]^{2}\right] = E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)+\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)\right]^{2}\right]$$

= $E_{\mathbf{x},D}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right] + 2 E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)\right] + E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right]$ (1)

$$E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left[h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-y\right]^{2}\right] = E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)+\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)\right]^{2}\right]$$

= $E_{\mathbf{x},D}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right] + 2 E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)\right] + E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right]$ (1)

$$E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left[h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-y\right]^{2}\right] = E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)+\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)\right]^{2}\right]$$

= $E_{\mathbf{x},D}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right] + 2\frac{2}{2}E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)\right] + E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right]$ (1)

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{x},y,D} \left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[E_D \left[h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(E_D \left[h_D(\mathbf{x}) \right] - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[0 \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$E_{\mathbf{x},y,D} \left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$$

= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[E_D \left[h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(E_D \left[h_D(\mathbf{x}) \right] - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[0 \right]$
= 0

$$E_{\mathbf{x},y,D} \left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$$

= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[E_D \left[h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(E_D \left[h_D(\mathbf{x}) \right] - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= 0

$$E_{\mathbf{x},y,D} \left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$$

= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[E_D \left[h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(E_D \left[h_D(\mathbf{x}) \right] - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[0 \right]$
= 0

$$E_{\mathbf{x},y,D} \left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$$

= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[E_D \left[h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(E_D \left[h_D(\mathbf{x}) \right] - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right]$
= $E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[0 \right]$
= 0

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{x},y,D} \left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[E_D \left[h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(E_D \left[h_D(\mathbf{x}) \right] - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(0 \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left[h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-y\right]^{2}\right] = E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)+\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)\right]^{2}\right]$$

= $E_{\mathbf{x},D}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right] + 2 \frac{2}{2} \frac{E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)\right]}{0} + E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right]$ (2)

Returning to the earlier expression, we're left with the variance and another term

$$E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right] = \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},D}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Variance}} + E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right]$$
(3)

We can break down the second term in the above equation as follows:

$$E_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{y}\right)^{2}\right] = E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)+\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Bias}^{2}} + \underbrace{2 E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)\right]}_{0}$$
(4)

We can break down the second term in the above equation as follows:

$$E_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{y}\right)^{2}\right] = E_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})\right)+\left(\bar{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{y}\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}\left[\left(\bar{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{y}\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Bias}^{2}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})\right)\left(\bar{\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{x})-\mathbf{y}\right)\right]}_{0}$$
(4)

We can break down the second term in the above equation as follows:

$$E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right] = E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)+\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Bias}^{2}} + \underbrace{2 E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)\right]}_{0}$$
(4)

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y\right)\right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[E_{y|\mathbf{x}}\left[\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y\right]\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)\right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[E_{y|\mathbf{x}}\left[\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y\right]\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)\right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - E_{y|\mathbf{x}}\left[y\right]\right)\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)\right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)\right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)\right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[0\right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[y \right] \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[0 \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[y \right] \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[0 \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[y \right] \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[0 \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[y \right] \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[0 \right] \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} & E_{\mathbf{x},y} \left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - y \right] \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - E_{y|\mathbf{x}} \left[y \right] \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{y}(\mathbf{x}) \right) \right] \\ &= E_{\mathbf{x}} \left[0 \right] \\ &= 0 \end{split}$$

This gives us the decomposition of expected test error as follows

$$\underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2\right]}_{\text{Expected Test Error}} = \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},D}\left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)^2\right]}_{\text{Variance}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2\right]}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x}$$

 $\underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{}$

This gives us the decomposition of expected test error as follows

$$\underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y,D}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Expected Test Error}} = \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},D}\left[\left(h_{D}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Variance}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Expected Test Error}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Expected Test Error}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Expected Test Error}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Noise}} + \underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{h$$

 ${\rm Bias^2}$

Variance:
$$\underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},D}\left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)^2\right]}_{\mathbf{x},D}$$

Captures how much your classifier changes if you train on a different training set.

How "over-specialized" is your classifier to a particular training set (overfitting)?

If we have the best possible model for our training data, how far off are we from the average classifier?

Variance:
$$\underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},D}\left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)^2\right]}_{\text{Variance}}$$

Captures how much your classifier changes if you train on a different training set.

How "over-specialized" is your classifier to a particular training set (overfitting)?

If we have the best possible model for our training data, how far off are we from the average classifier?

Variance:
$$\underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},D}\left[\left(h_D(\mathbf{x}) - \bar{h}(\mathbf{x})\right)^2\right]}_{\text{Variance}}$$

Captures how much your classifier changes if you train on a different training set.

How "over-specialized" is your classifier to a particular training set (overfitting)?

If we have the best possible model for our training data, how far off are we from the average classifier?

Bias:
$$\underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Pinc}^{2}}$$

What is the inherent error that you obtain from your classifier even with infinite training data?

This is due to your classifier being "biased" to a particular kind of solution (e.g. linear classifier).

In other words, bias is inherent to your model.

Bias:
$$\underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Bias}^{2}}$$

What is the inherent error that you obtain from your classifier even with infinite training data?

This is due to your classifier being "biased" to a particular kind of solution (e.g. linear classifier).

In other words, bias is inherent to your model.

Bias:
$$\underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x}}\left[\left(\bar{h}(\mathbf{x})-\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})\right)^{2}\right]}_{\text{Bias}^{2}}$$

What is the inherent error that you obtain from your classifier even with infinite training data?

This is due to your classifier being "biased" to a particular kind of solution (e.g. linear classifier).

In other words, bias is inherent to your model.

Noise:
$$\underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^{2}\right]}_{\mathbf{x},y}$$

Noise How big is the data-intrinsic noise?

This error measures ambiguity due to your data distribution and feature representation. You can never beat this, it is an aspect of the data.

Noise:
$$\underbrace{E_{\mathbf{x},y}\left[\left(\bar{y}(\mathbf{x})-y\right)^2\right]}_{\text{Noise}}$$

How big is the data-intrinsic noise?

This error measures ambiguity due to your data distribution and feature representation. You can never beat this, it is an aspect of the data.



Figure : Graphical illustration of bias and variance.



Figure : The variation of Bias and Variance with the model complexity. This is similar to the concept of overfitting and underfitting. More complex models overfit while the simplest models underfit.

Detecting High Bias and High Variance



Figure : Test and training error as the number of training instances increases.

The graph above plots the training error and the test error and can be divided into two overarching regimes. In the first regime (on the left side of the graph), training error is below the desired error threshold (denoted by ϵ), but test error is significantly higher.



Figure : Test and training error as the number of training instances increases.

In the second regime (on the right side of the graph), test error is remarkably close to training error, but both are above the desired tolerance of ϵ .

Regime 1 (High Variance) Symptoms:

- Training error is much lower than test error
- Training error is lower than ϵ
- Test error is above ϵ

Remedies:

- Add more training data
- Reduce model complexity complex models are prone to high variance
- Bagging (will be covered later in the course)

Regime 2 (High Bias): the model being used is not robust enough to produce an accurate prediction Symptoms:

• Training error is higher than ϵ , but close to test error. Remedies:

- Use more complex model (e.g. kernelize, use non-linear models)
- Add features
- Boosting (will be covered later in the course)